Georgia College School of Nursing Non-Tenure Track Faculty Evaluation Checklist – SENIOR LECTURER

Directions: This checklist is designed to assist in preparation of your self-evaluation narrative for the annual performance evaluation. Please review this list carefully prior to writing your narrative to ensure that you include all important facts. This list is based on the College of Health Sciences *Philosophy, Policy & Procedures for Non-Tenure Track Faculty Performance Appraisal and Promotion* document. You do *not* need to include evidence regarding the minimal "fully acceptable" expectations for faculty, such as creation of syllabus, preparing lessons, or developing exam items. Evidence for meeting the criterion for "commendable" and "excellent" must be provided. The evaluation period that should be addressed for this evaluation is based on the previous calendar year (spring, summer, and fall semesters) only.

Note: It is expected that all faculty, regardless of rank, will perform the minimum teaching, scholarship, and service activities as listed below to earn a "Fully Acceptable" rating on the annual performance evaluation. Missing activities listed as minimum requirements will earn a rating of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement". Activities completed beyond these requirements as listed below are to be used in your self-evaluation to earn a rating of "Commendable" or "Excellent".

Category: Minimum Teaching, Scholarship, and Service Activities							
Teaching	Prepare for classes						
	Attend classes						
All are required	Grade student assignments						
•	Complete course report using template						
	Self-reflection and course changes are documented based						
	on student evaluations						
Scholarship	Incorporate evidence-based teaching methods into classes						
_	Minimum of one Scholarship of Teaching and Learning						
All are required	activity per year						
	Terminal Degree earned if applicable						
	All current information is entered into Digital Measures						
	Curriculum Vitae is printed from Digital Measures and						
	attached to evaluation						
Service	Attend NFO Meetings						
	Attend NFO Sub-Committee Meetings						
All are required	Attend COHS Meetings						
	Attend at least one graduation ceremony per year						
	Attend School of Nursing Celebration Ceremony						
Category: Teaching (See Benchmarks for Commendable or Excellent)							
1.1 Demonstrates consistent developing	Private communication (emails, letters, cards from peers,						
professionalism and collegiality through private	colleagues, students)						
and public communications from a variety of	Public communication and recognition, such as news						
stakeholders.	article or informal presentation						
	Formal mentorship and orientation of new faculty						
Commendable (1)	Serves as an advisor and/or mentor to nursing students						
Excellent (>1)							
100							
1.2 Demonstrates consistent development	Active participation in major course revision or new						
of course materials and pre-course planning	course planning (beyond routine planning)						
documents that demonstrate effective planning	Active participation in planning, implementing and						
and assessment of instructional design and	evaluating learning that ties didactic course with clinical						
implementation.	experiences						

Commendable (1)	Development of new contracts or community clinical			
Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	partnerships			
	Proposal development for a new course			
	Utilization of formal peer evaluation to improve course(s)			
	Award for teaching excellence received*			
1.3 Demonstrates consistent implementation of	Uses reflection from evaluation findings to implement and/or			
innovation of instructional design and delivery	revise high impact educational practices and/or creative			
that results in improved learning.	teaching strategies such as:			
	High Impact			
C 111 (2)	Service Learning (registered with the University)			
Commendable (2)	Study Abroad			
Excellent (>2)	Student-faculty research			
	Collaborative assignments and projects			
	☐ Diversity/global learning ☐ Simulation development utilizing NLN Standards with			
	student evaluation of the simulation experience			
	Creative Teaching Strategies			
	Writing across the curriculum			
	Speaking to Learn			
	Reader's Theater			
	Flipped Classroom			
	Problem-based learning (new problem development)			
	Case study development			
	Uses best practices in designing course within LMS			
	Course is Quality Matter Certified			
	Learning management system reflects best practices			
	(peer review required)			
	Other – you will need to define and evaluate			
1.4 Demonstrates consistent engagement in	Curriculum content mapping to outcomes and professional			
curriculum or program planning design, revision	standards			
or evaluation that reflects current trends in	Active participation in curriculum, evaluation, and			
evidence-based educational practice or	assessment committee			
accreditation requirements.	Documented course revision based on student feedback			
	and outcomes			
	Participation in elements of program evaluation or self- study such as writing a self-study, progress and planning			
	reports, etc.			
	Participation in multi-course teams to improve curriculum			
	Other— you will need to define and evaluate			
	State you wan need to define and evaluate			
Catagory, Scholarchin and Professional Days	lopment (See Benchmarks for Commendable or Excellent)			
Category. Scholarship and Professional Deve	iopinent (see Benchmarks for Commendable of Excellent)			
2.1 Acquisition and maintenance of professional	Professional certification earned*			
credentials or training and/or recognition at the	Professional certification maintained			
state or regional level.	Maintains clinical competency in area of clinical expertise			
state of regional level.	Attendance at conference/training or completion of online			
Commendable (1)	training to expand clinical expertise			
Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	Attendance at conference/training or completion of online			
•	training to expand teaching expertise			
2.2 Review or editing of scholarly work through	Evidence of editing or review of books, creative activities,			
the publication of reviews of other work, service	professional journal, conference presentations			
as a reviewer of professional journals and	Mentorship of undergraduate student research			
presentations, formal mentorship of student	Mentorship of graduate student research			
research, and professional consulting on a state,	Summary or communication documenting consultation			
regional, or national level.	contribution			

Commendable (1) Excellent (>1) 2.3 Development and dissemination of knowledge through the publication of peerreviewed scholarly efforts, presentation at state, regional, and national level conferences, and/or receipt of internal or external funding of research initiatives. Category: Service (See Beneral Section 1)	Peer reviewed or edited book, book chapter, journal article or monograph published or accepted for publication Grant award for research Reviewed or invited presentation at professional conference or public lecture on scholarly topic Award for scholarship excellence received*			
Category. Bervice (Bee Ben	cimal as for Commendable of Exercise			
3.1. Service to the Institution or University System of Georgia Demonstrates a consistent record of effective management and/or leadership in labs, clinics, programs, departments or events as pertinent to role responsibilities. Commendable (1) Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	 □ Chair, Secretary or special assignment in School of Nursing committee □ Chair, Secretary or special assignment in College of Health Sciences committee □ Active participation in University-Wide committee such as Senate, Faculty Recognition, etc. □ Active participation in University Senate sub-committee □ Active participation in campus programs of short duration, such as circle leader, research conference, training, others □ Active participation in councils or task forces □ Major coordinator role (no course reduction or extra compensation) such as School of Nursing Accreditation, COHS International Coordinator, GCANS. □ Award for service excellence received* Involvement¹ in professional organizations such as: 			
Demonstrates involvement in committees, task forces, or initiatives at the department, college or institution level and/or leadership at the department and college level; effective academic advising and work as representative at orientation and recruitment events; mentorship of faculty peers within department; regular volunteering with special campus events; and/or receipt of internal or external funding of non-research initiatives (i.e., academic programming). Commendable (1)	☐ Committee membership ☐ Leadership role ☐ Board of Directors ☐ Task force ☐ Conference planning ☐ Accreditation site-visitor ☐ Other			
Excellent (>1)				
3.3 Service to the community as a professional or a citizen ² Demonstrates strong commitment to their profession through active participation in organization activities and initiatives at the state, regional and national level and/or leadership in organization activities and initiatives at the state or regional level, and/or work as an accreditation reviewer.	 □ Participation in a community non-profit organization or governmental agency in a capacity that requires professional nursing expertise. Participation may include committee membership, leadership role, member of Board of Directors, or task force member □ Leadership in a professional organization performing a service to the community □ Delivery of direct nursing care or educational services requiring nursing expertise to a community organization 			

 $^{^{\}rm l}$ Involvement should be documented as activities beyond maintaining membership $^{\rm l}$ Citizen service is not considered as important as service as a professional

Commendable (1)	
Excellent (>1)	
3.4 Demonstrates ability to provide leadership in	☐ Involvement in any community service as a citizen
service work to the community, district, or state.	(something that does not require nursing expertise)
Commendable (1)	
Excellent (>1)	

	xcellent (>1)					
	Georgia College School of Nursing					
	Faculty Evaluation Reflection					
1.	What do you consider to be your major work accomplishments since your last review?					
2.	Specify areas where you think you have exceeded job requirements					
3.	How might you improve on your current teaching practices?					
4.	What skills or new knowledge would you like to develop to improve your performance?					
	or not made would job mile to do to provide jobs possessions					
5.	List two professional goals for next year and identify measurements that you would like to use to determine if you have met these goals.					

6. Student Ratings of Instruction (SRIS)

Semester/Course	SRIS Excellent Teacher Rating Raw Score	SRIS Excellent Teacher Rating Adjusted Score	SRIS Excellent Course Rating Raw Score	SRIS Excellent Course Rating Adjusted Score