

Simulation and Translational Research Center

End of Semester Report

NRSG 4665 Spring 2020

Table of Contents

1	Data Analysis and Action Plan	3
2	Simulation Design Scale Summary	6
	Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning	
3	Survey Summary	7
4	Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument Summary	7
5	INACSL Evaluation Elsa Mendoza	8
6	INACSL Evaluation Steven Chung	10
7	Simulation Design Scale Data	12
8	Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning Data	20
9	Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument Data	22
10	Peer Evaluation data	25
11	Peer Evaluation Comments	27
12	CCEI anonymous comments	31
13	Krystal Canady Faculty Evaluation and Comments	32
14	Josie Doss Faculty Evaluation and Comments	33

NRSG 4665 Spring 2020 Data Analysis and Action Plan

Students (N=55) enrolled in the NRSG 4665 - Family course completed a simulated experiences, which comprised 4.5% (4 hours per student) of their total clinical hours; 220 student contact hours. All students participated in the simulated experiences, which included a diabetes, hyperglycemia, respiratory distress, advocacy and ethical content, as these were subjects/skills that Family course faculty identified as most needed for this cohort.

Following each simulation, students completed the following NLN instruments; Simulation Design Scale and Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning (SSSCL) tools which measures design elements of the simulation and leaners' attitude toward their satisfaction and self-confidence in obtaining needed instruction respectively. Faculty evaluated individual student performance using the Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument Summary tool. Benchmarks were set prior to the simulations by the faculty as a 4 out of 5 on the NLN instruments; Simulation Design Scale and Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning tools (except item 13 on the SSCL, benchmark less than 2) and 80% or higher on each of the Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument evaluation items.

Analysis of the data revealed top strengths and weaknesses for student simulation performance in the NRSG 4665 course. Once these were identified, the 4665 faculty discussed and formulated an action plan to address each.

Simulation Design	Simulation Design Scale					
Objectives and Information	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark			
,		Score	Met			
Criteria 1	4	4.14	Yes			
Criteria 2	4	4.24	Yes			
Criteria 3	4	3.93	No			
Criteria 4	4	4.10	Yes			
Support	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark			
		Score	Met			
Criteria 5	4	3.93	No			
Criteria 6	4	3.75	No			
Criteria 7	4	4.07	Yes			
Criteria 8	4	4.21	Yes			
Problem Solving	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark			
		Score	Met			
Criteria 9	4	4.31	Yes			
Criteria 10	4	4.31	Yes			
Criteria 11	4	4.34	Yes			
Criteria 12	4	4.38	Yes			
Criteria 13	4	4.21	Yes			
Feedback/Guided Reflection	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark			
		Score	Met			
Criteria 14	4	4.46	Yes			
Criteria 15	4	4.48	Yes			

Page 1 of 3

NRSG 4665 Spring 2020 Data Analysis and Action Plan

Criteria 16	4	4.45	Yes
Criteria 17	4	4.41	Yes
Fidelity(Realism)	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark
		Score	Met
Criteria 18	4	4.38	Yes
Criteria 19	4	4.31	Yes

Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning					
Satisfaction with Current Learning	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark		
-		Score	Met		
Criteria 1	4	4.45	Yes		
Criteria 2	4	4.25	Yes		
Criteria 3	4	4.20	Yes		
Criteria 4	4	4.20	Yes		
Criteria 5	4	4.87	Yes		
Self-Confidence in Learning	Benchmark	Mean	Benchmark		
		Score	Met		
Criteria 6	4	4.05	Yes		
Criteria 7	4	4.35	Yes		
Criteria 8	4	4.25	Yes		
Criteria 9	4	4.25	Yes		
Criteria 10	4	4.62	Yes		
Criteria 11	4	4.55	Yes		
Criteria 12	4	4.40	Yes		
Criteria 13	≤2	4.15	No		

Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument					
Assessment	Benchmark	Observed	Benchmark		
		Score	Met		
Obtain pertinent data	80%	91%	Yes		
Follow-up assessment	80%	91%	Yes		
Assess environment	80%	91%	Yes		
Communication	Benchmark	Observed	Benchmark		
		Score	Met		
Effective communication w/team	80%	100%	Yes		
Effective communication w pt & sig other	80%	82%	Yes		
Documentation	80%	100%	Yes		
Responds to abnormal findings	80%	100%	Yes		
Professionalism	80%	100%	Yes		
Clinical Judgement	Benchmark	Observed	Benchmark		
		Score	Met		
Interprets Vital Signs	80%	90%	Yes		

Page 2 of 3

NRSG 4665 Spring 2020 Data Analysis and Action Plan

Interprets Lab Results	80%	88%	Yes
Interprets subjective/objective data	80%	100%	Yes
Prioritization	80%	91%	Yes
Perform evidence-based interventions	80%	91%	Yes
Provides evidence-based rationales for	80%	91%	Yes
interventions			
Evaluates evidence-based interventions	80%	88%	Yes
Reflects on experience	80%	100%	Yes
Delegates appropriately	80%	89%	Yes
Patient Safety	Benchmark	Observed	Benchmark
		Score	Met
Use patient identifiers	80%	100%	Yes
Utilizes standardized practices & precautions	80%	89%	Yes
Administers medications safely	80%	89%	Yes
Manages technology and equipment	80%	100%	Yes
Performs procedures correctly	80%	89%	Yes
Reflects on potential hazards	80%	100%	Yes

Top Student Strengths	Comments/Observations	Action Plan
Effective communication	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
w/team		
Respond to abnormal	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
findings		
Documentation	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
Professionalism	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
Interprets	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
subjective/objective data		
Reflects on experience	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
Use patient identifiers	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
Manages technology and	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
equipment		
Reflects on potential hazards	CCEI 100%	Continue current practice
Top Student Weaknesses	Comments/Observations	Action Plan
Recommend comp	parison of CCEI scores to peer o	observation scores

Page 3 of 3 5

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

Assessment of the simulation design elements (SDS Design) How important is each item to you

	OBJECTIVES AND INFORMATION	Section Average	4.10		OBJECTIVES AND INFORMATION	Section Average	4.22
1	There was enough information provided at the begining of the simulation to provide direction and encouragement.		4.14	20	There was enough information provided at the begining of the simulation to provide direction and encouragement.		4.34
2	I clearly understood the purpose and objectives of the simulation.		4.24	21	I clearly understood the purpose and objectives of the simulation.		4.21
3	The simulation provided enough information in a clear matter for me to problem-solve the situation.		3.93	22	The simulation provided enough information in a clear matter for me to problem-solve the situation.		4.17
4	The cues were appropriate and geared to promote my understanding.		4.10	23	The cues were appropriate and geared to promote my understanding.		4.17
	SUPPORT	Section Average	3.99		SUPPORT	Section Average	4.25
5	Support was offered in a timely manner.		3.93	24	Support was offered in a timely manner.		4.28
6	My need for help was recognized.		3.75	25	My need for help was recognized.		4.21
7	I felt supported by my teacher's assistance during the simulation.		4.07	26	I felt supported by my teacher's assistance during the simulation.		4.18
8	I was supported in the learning process.		4.21	27	I was supported in the learning process.		4.34
	PROBLEM SOLVING	Section Average	4.31		PROBLEM SOLVING	Section Average	4.36
9	Independent problem-solving was facilitated.		4.31	28	Independent problem-solving was facilitated.		4.38
10	I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation.		4.31	29	I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation.		4.34
11	The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills.		4.34	30	The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills.		4.34
12	The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize the nursing assesments and care.		4.38	31	The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize the nursing assesments and care.		4.38
13	The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient.		4.21	32	The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient.		4.34
	FEEDBACK/GUIDED REFLECTION	Section Average	4.45		FEEDBACK/GUIDED REFLECTION	Section Average	4.48
14	Feedback provided was constructive.		4.46	33	Feedback provided was constructive.		4.52
15	Feedback provided was provided in a timely manner.		4.48	34	Feedback provided was provided in a timely manner.		4.48
16	The simulation allowed m e to analyze my own behavior and actions.		4.45	35	The simulation allowed m e to analyze my own behavior and actions.		4.48
17	There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build knowledge to another level.		4.41	36	There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build knowledge to another level.		4.45
	FIDELITY (REALISM)	Section Average	4.34		FIDELITY (REALISM)	Section Average	4.43
18	The scenario resembled a real-life situation.		4.38	37	The scenario resembled a real-life situation.		4.41
19	Real life factors, situations nad variables were built into the simulation scenario.		4.31	38	Real life factors, situations nad variables were built into the simulation scenario.		4.45

Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning (SSSCL)

Satisfaction with Current Learning (SSSCL Satis)	Section Average	4.28
The teaching methods used in this simulation were helpful and effective.		4.45
2. The simulation provided me with a variety of learning materials activities to promote my learning the medical surgical curriculum.		4.25
3. I enjoyed how my instructor taught the simulation.		4.20
4. The teaching materials used in this simulation were motivating helped me to learn. $ \\$	and	4.20
5. The way my instructor(s) taught the simulation was suitable to learn. $ \\$	the way I	4.30
Self-Confidence in Learning (SSSCL Conf)	Section Average	4.33
6. I am confident that I am mastering the content of the simulation that my instructors presented to me.	n activity	4.05
7. I am confident that this simulation covered critical content necessary for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum	essary	4.35
	required	4.35 4.25
for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum 8. I am confident that I am developing the skills and obtaining the knowledge from this simulation to perform necessary tasks in a cl	required	
for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum 8. I am confident that I am developing the skills and obtaining the knowledge from this simulation to perform necessary tasks in a cl setting.	required inical	4.25
for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum 8. I am confident that I am developing the skills and obtaining the knowledge from this simulation to perform necessary tasks in a cl setting. 9. My instructors used helpful resources to teach simulation. 10. It is my responsibility as the student to learn what I need to kn	required inical now from	4.25 4.25
for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum 8. I am confident that I am developing the skills and obtaining the knowledge from this simulation to perform necessary tasks in a cl setting. 9. My instructors used helpful resources to teach simulation. 10. It is my responsibility as the student to learn what I need to know this simulation activity. 11. I know how to get help when I do not understand the concept	required inical own from	4.25 4.25 4.62

Creighton Competency Evaluation Instrument (CCEI)

CCEI Assessn	nent Section Average	91%
1	Obtains Pertinent Data	91%
2	Performs Follow-Up Assessments as Needed	91%
3	Assess the Environment in and Orderly Manner	91%
CCEI Commu	nication Section Average	96%
4	Communicates Effectively with Intra/Interprofessional Team	100%
5	Communicates Effectively with Patient and Significant Other	82%
6	Documents Clearly, Concisely & Accurately	100%
7	Responds to Abnormal Findings Appropriately	100%
8	Promotes Professionalism	100%
CCEI Clinical	Judgement Section Average	92%
CCEI Clinical 9	Judgement Section Average Interprets Vital Signs	92%
9	Interprets Vital Signs	90%
9	Interprets Vital Signs Interprets Lab Results Interprets Subjective/Objective	90%
9 10 11	Interprets Vital Signs Interprets Lab Results Interprets Subjective/Objective Data	90% 88% 100%
9 10 11	Interprets Vital Signs Interprets Lab Results Interprets Subjective/Objective Data Prioritizes Appropriately Performs Evidence Based	90% 88% 100%
9 10 11 12	Interprets Vital Signs Interprets Lab Results Interprets Subjective/Objective Data Prioritizes Appropriately Performs Evidence Based Interventions Provides Evidence Based	90% 88% 100% 91%
9 10 11 12 13	Interprets Vital Signs Interprets Lab Results Interprets Subjective/Objective Data Prioritizes Appropriately Performs Evidence Based Interventions Provides Evidence Based Rationale for Interventions Evaluates Evidence Based	90% 88% 100% 91% 91%

CEI Patient Safety		Section Average	94%
18	Uses Patient Identifiers		100%
19	Utilizes Standardized Practices and Precautions including Hand Washin	g	89%
20	Administers Medications Safely		89%
21	Manages Technology and Equipmen	nt	100%
22	Performs Procedures Correctly		89%
23	Reflects on Potential Hazards		100%

Simulation Design

- Criterion 1. Needs Assessment unable to review
- Criterion 3. Structure the format based on theory define target population

 Criterion 3.5 need clarity of the end point of scenario (specific objectives)
- Criterion 4.2 Cues are good need clarity on how they direct the specific objectives
- Criterion 6. Maintain facilitator approach satisfactory, needs to be documented
- Criterion 7.2 Conduct planned prebriefing facilitation is unknown
- Criterion 8.1 Debriefing method not defined
- Criterion 9. Evaluation process not clearly defined, recommend further use of standardized tools such as SDS, CCEI, SSSCL

INACSL EVALUATION: Elsa Mendoza

11.4 Include in the pilot test an evaluation - Partial pilot implementation of tools in S20

Outcomes and Objectives

- Criterion 1.2 Expected outcomes need clarification on what behaviors are expected as they tie in to specific objectives
- Criterion 2.1 need clarification on specific objectives
- Criterion 2.2 measurable objectives evaluation criteria not defined

Facilitation

- Criterion 1.1 Ongoing reflection and assessment Need formal validated review process for facilitators not assessed on S20
- Criterion 2.4-2.6 allow scenario progression, delivering consistent SBE to all cohorts Unable to determine as need facilitator review process
- Criterion 4.1 deliver cues -need clarification of specific objectives
- Criterion 5 need clarification on debriefing method

Debriefing

- Criterion 1.1 need clarification on debriefing method
- Criterion 1.3 Seek feedback from both participants and experienced debriefers
 - 1. Need validated and reliable tool
 - 2. Use NLN SDS SSSCL tools
- Criterion 1.5 Validate continuing competence not observed ion S20
 - Assessed through observation by an experienced debriefer.
 - 1. Need formal validated tool
- Criterion 2.1- 2.14 Debriefing is conducive to learning need formal evaluation tool, not evaluated in S20

Page 1 of 2

Criterion 3. Dedicated debriefer following SBE – by course faculty

Criterion 4. Theoretic Framework. Not clearly defined

Participant Evaluation

Criterion 2.3 Use small group ratio 3-4/facilitator

1 facilitator: 7-8 student GA's are not trained to facilitate, can assist

Page 2 of 2

Simulation Design

- Criterion 2.2 and 2.4 Specific Objectives not clearly defined
- Criterion 3.3 Structure the format based on theory define framework
- Criterion 3.5 need clarity of the end point of scenario (specific objectives)
- Criterion 4.2 Cues are good need clarity on how they direct the specific objectives
- Criterion 6. Maintain facilitator approach satisfactory, needs to be documented
- Criterion 7.2 Conduct planned prebriefing facilitation is unknown
- Criterion 8.1 Debriefing method not defined
- Criterion 9. Evaluation process not clearly defined, recommend further use of standardized tools such as SDS, CCEI, SSSCL
- Criterion 11.4 Include in the pilot test an evaluation Partial pilot implementation of tools in \$20

Outcomes and Objectives

- Criterion 1.2 Expected outcomes need clarification on what behaviors are expected as they tie in to specific objectives
- Criterion 2.1 need clarification on specific objectives
- Criterion 2.2 measurable objectives evaluation criteria not defined

Facilitation

- Criterion 1.1 Ongoing reflection and assessment Need formal validated review process for facilitators not assessed on S20
- Criterion 2.4-2.6 allow scenario progression, delivering consistent SBE to all cohorts Unable to determine as need facilitator review process
- Criterion 4.1 deliver cues -need clarification of specific objectives
- Criterion 5 need clarification on debriefing method

Debriefing

- Criterion 1.1 need clarification on debriefing method
- Criterion 1.3 Seek feedback from both participants and experienced debriefers
 - 1. Need validated and reliable tool
 - 2. Use NLN SDS SSSCL tools
- Criterion 1.5 Validate continuing competence not observed ion S20
 - Assessed through observation by an experienced debriefer.
 - 1. Need formal validated tool

Page 1 of 2 10

Criterion 2.1- 2.14 Debriefing is conducive to learning – need formal evaluation tool, not evaluated in S20

Criterion 3. Dedicated debriefer following SBE – by course faculty

Criterion 4. Theoretic Framework. Not clearly defined

Participant Evaluation

Criterion 2.3 Use small group ratio 3-4/facilitator

1 facilitator: 7-8 student GA's are not trained to facilitate, can assist

Page 2 of 2

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

OBJECTIVES AND	Section									
INFORMATION	Average	_		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310	,		
1	4.10	Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
There was enough information	EM Sect AVG	2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	2	0	0	4	4	0
provided at the begining of the	3.64	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	2	0	6	0	6
simulation to provide direction		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	4	3	60	32	28
and encouragement.	SC Sect AVG	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	3	6	50	5	45
	4.39	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.14	3.73	4.39
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
2		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I clearly understood the		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	2	0	0	4	4	0
purpose and objectives of the		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
simulation.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	4	4	64	32	32
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	5	5	55	5	50
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.24	3.73	4.56
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
3		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation provided		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	1	1	0	6	4	2
enough information in a clear		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	2	1	1	12	6	6
matter for me to problem-solve		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	4	3	56	28	28
the situation.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	0	3	5	40	0	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	3.93	3.45	4.22
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
4		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The cues were appropriate and		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	1	0	0	4	4	0
geared to promote my		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	1	0	6	3	3
understanding.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	4	5	64	28	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	4	4	45	5	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.10	3.64	4.39

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
SUPPORT	Average	Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
5	3.99	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Support was offered in a timely		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	1	0	0	4	4	0
	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	4	1	0	18	15	3
	3.52	4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	4	5	4	52	16	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	0	3	5	40	0	40
	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	3.93	3.18	4.39
_	4.28							-	•	
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
6		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
My need for help was		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	0	0	1	4	2	2
recognized.		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	4	1	1	21	15	6
		4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	5	6	4	60	20	40
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	0	2	2	20	0	20
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	1	3.75	3.36	4.00
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
7		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I felt supported by my teacher's		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
assistance during the		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	2	1	1	12	6	6
simulation.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	5	5	4	64	28	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	3	4	40	5	35
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.07	3.73	4.28
								,		
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
8		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I was supported in the learning		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
process.		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	6	4	72	32	40
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	3	5	45	5	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.21	3.82	4.44

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310		_	
PROBLEM SOLVING	Average	Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
9	4.31	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent problem-solving		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
was facilitated.	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	0	0	0	3	3	0
	4.09	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	8	5	4	72	36	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	1	4	5	50	5	45
	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.31	4.00	4.50
	4.44									
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
10		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I was encouraged to explore all		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	3
possibilities of the simulation.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	7	4	5	72	36	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	2	4	4	50	10	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.31	4.18	4.39
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
11		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation was designed		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
for my specific level of		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
knowledge and skills.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	7	5	5	76	36	40
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	2	4	4	50	10	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.34	4.18	4.44
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
12		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation allowed me the		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
opportunity to prioritize the		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	6	4	72	32	40
nursing assesments and care.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	3	3	5	55	15	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.38	4.27	4.44
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
13		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation provided me an		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	0
opportunity to goal set for my		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	0	0	0	3	3	0
patient.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	8	5	5	72	32	40
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	1	4	4	45	5	40
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.21	3.82	4.44

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

FEEDBACK/GUIDED	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
REFLECTION	Average	Answer		EM	EM	EM SC	SC	AVG	EM	sc
14	4.45	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feedback provided was		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
constructive.	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4.28	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	4	4	60	28	32
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	3	5	5	65	15	50
	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.46	4.30	4.56
	4.56									
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
15		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feedback provided		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
was provided in a timely		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
manner.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	4	3	60	32	28
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	3	5	6	70	15	55
		NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.48	4.27	4.61
								-		
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
16		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation allowed m e to		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
analyze my own behavior and		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
actions.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	4	5	64	28	36
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	3	5	4	65	20	45
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.45	4.36	4.50
								1		
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
17		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
There was an opportunity after		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
the simulation to obtain		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	7	4	4	68	36	32
guidance/feedback from the		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	2	5	5	60	10	50
teacher in order to build		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.41	4.18	4.56
knowledge to another level.										
FIDELITY (DEALICAA)	Section	A		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310	****		66
FIDELITY (REALISM)	Average	Answer	. –	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
18	4.34	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The scenario resembled a real-		2 - Disagree with the statement	2 3	0	0	0	0	0 9	0	0
life situation.		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree			1	1	1		3	6
	4.23	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	4	3	4	48	20	28
	COC NIC	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	0	5 0	0	70	25 4.36	45 4.39
	SC Sect AVG 4.42	NA - Not Applicable		U	U	Ü	U	4.38	4.36	4.39
	4.42	Answer						AVG	EM	SC
19		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real life factors, situations nad		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
variables were built into the simulation scenario.		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	5	5	72	32	40
Simulation Scelldill.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	1	4	5 4	50	10	40
		NA - Not Applicable	э –	0	0	0	0	4.31	4.09	4.44
		NA - NOL Applicable		U	U	U	0	4.51	4.09	4.44

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

How important is each item to you.

OBJECTIVES AND	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
INFORMATION	Average	Answer		EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
20	4.22	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
There was enough information	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
provided at the begining of the	4.09	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	5	4	68	32	36
simulation to provide direction		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	1	4	5	55	10	45
and encouragement.	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.34	4.09	4.50
	4.50							-		
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I clearly understood the		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	2	0	0	6	6	0
purpose and objectives of the		4 - Agree with the statement	4	2	6	6	5	76	32	44
simulation.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	3	4	40	5	35
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.21	3.91	4.39
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
The simulation provided		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	2	0	0	9	9	0
enough information in a clear		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	4	5	5	60	20	40
matter for me to problem-solve		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	0	2	4	4	50	10	40
the situation.		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.17	3.73	4.44
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	0
The cues were appropriate and		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	1	0	6	3	3
geared to promote my		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	4	5	68	32	36
understanding.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	0	1	4	4	45	5	40
				0	0		0	4.17	3.82	4.39

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS)

How important is each item to you.

Secti	n		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
Avera	ge Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
SUPPORT 4.2	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	2 - Disagree with the statement	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	0
Support was offered in a timely EM Sect	AVG 3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
manner. 3.9	4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	8	4	4	64	32	32
	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	0	5	5	55	5	50
SC Sect	NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.28	3.82	4.56
4.40									
	Answer						AVG	EM	SC
	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
My need for help was	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	2	1	0	12	9	3
recognized.	4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	6	4	4	56	24	32
	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	1	4	4	50	10	40
	NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	1	4.21	3.91	4.41
	Answer						AVG	EM	SC
	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I felt supported by my teacher's	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	3	0	0	12	12	0
assistance during the	4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	4	5	6	60	16	44
simulation.	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	1	4	3	45	10	35
	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.18	3.80	4.39
	Answer						AVG	EM	SC
	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I was supported in the learning	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	0	0	0	3	3	0
process.	4 - Agree with the statement	4	0	8	4	5	68	32	36
	5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	1	5	4	55	10	45
	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.34	4.09	4.50

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS) How important is each item to you.

	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
	Average	Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
PROBLEM SOLVING	4.36	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent problem-solving	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
was facilitated.	4.13	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	4	4	64	32	32
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	1	5	5	60	10	50
	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.38	4.09	4.56
	4.50									
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
I was encouraged to explore all		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	5	5	68	28	40
possibilities of the simulation.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	2	4	4	55	15	40
		NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.34	4.18	4.44
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation was designed		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
for my specific level of		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	5	5	6	68	24	44
knowledge and skills.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	3	4	3	55	20	35
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.34	4.27	4.39
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	0	0	3	3	0
The simulation allowed me the		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	5	4	3	52	24	28
opportunity to prioritize the		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5_	1	2	5	6	70	15	55
nursing assesments and care.		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.38	4.00	4.61
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	1	0	0	1	6	3	3
The simulation provided me an		4 - Agree with the statement	3 4	0	8	4	3	60	32	28
opportunity to goal set for my		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	4 5	1	1	5	5	60	10	50
patient.			³ -	0	0	0	0	4.34	4.09	4.50
		NA - Not Applicable		U	U	U	U	4.54	4.09	4.50

Simmulation Design Scale (SDS) How important is each item to you.

	Section			N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310			
FEEDBACK/GUIDED	Average	Answer	_	EM	EM	SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
REFLECTION	4.48	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feedback provided was	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
constructive.	4.32	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	6	4	3	56	28	28
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	3	5	6	75	20	55
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.52	4.36	4.61
	4.58									
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feedback provided		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
was provided in a timely		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	4	3	60	32	28
manner.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	2	5	6	70	15	55
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.48	4.27	4.61
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		·	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
The simulation allowed m e to		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	4		6		4	-	28	32
analyze my own behavior and actions.		4 - Agree with the statement 5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	4 5	1	3	4 5	5	60 70	28	50
actions.			· · · ·	0	0	0	0	4.48	4.36	4.56
		NA - Not Applicable		U	U	U	U	4.46	4.30	4.50
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	7	5	3	64	32	32
There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	2	4	6	65	15	50
guidance/feedback from the		NA - Not Applicable	_	0	0	0	0	4.45	4.27	4.56
teacher in order to build										
knowledge to another level.	Section									
	Average	Answer						AVG	EM	SC
FIDELITY (REALISM)	4.43	1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
The scenario resembled a real-	EM Sect AVG	3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	1	1	1	9	3	6
life situation.	4.23	4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	2	3	2	32	12	20
		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	5	5	6	85	30	55
	SC Sect AVG	NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.41	4.27	4.50
	4.56									
		Answer						AVG	EM	SC
		1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38		2 - Disagree with the statement	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
Real life factors, situations nad		3 - Undecided - neither agree or disagree	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
variables were built into the		4 - Agree with the statement	4	1	5	4	3	52	24	28
simulation scenario.		5 - Strongly Agree with the satement	5	1	3	5	6	75	20	55
		NA - Not Applicable		0	0	0	0	4.45	4.18	4.61

Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning Satisfaction with Current Learning (SSSCL)

							EM	SC
				Section	Average	4.28	4.14	4.35
	Strongly				Strongly			
	Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Agree			
1. The teaching methods used in this simulation were helpful								
and effective.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0		10	35
EM 0225	0	0	0	5	2			
SC 0303	0	0	0	3	2		20	24
SC 0310	0	0	0	1	5			
	0	0	0	44	45	4.45	4.29	4.54
2. The simulation provided me with a variety of learning								
materials and activities to promote my learning the medical								
surgical curriculum.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0		10	30
EM 0225	0	0	2	3	2			
SC 0303	0	0	1	2	2		16	20
SC 0310	0	0	0	2	4			
	0	0	9	36	40	4.25	4.14	4.31
3. I enjoyed how my instructor taught the simulation.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	sc
EM 0121	0	0	1	1	0		10	35
EM 0225	0	0	4	1	2			
SC 0303	0	0	0	3	2		16	8
SC 0310	0	0	0	1	5			
	0	0	15	24	45	4.20	4.14	4.23
4. The teaching materials used in this simulation were								
motivating and helped me to learn.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	1	1	0		10	30
EM 0225	0	0	1	4	2			
SC 0303	0	0	1	2	2		12	20
SC 0310	0	0	1	1	4			
	0	0	12	32	40	4.20	4.00	4.31
5. The way my instructor(s) taught the simulation was suitable to)							
the way I learn.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0		10	35
EM 0225	0	0	2	3	2			
SC 0303	0	0	1	2	2		16	16
SC 0310	0	0	0	1	5			
	0	0	9	32	45	4.30	4.14	4.38

Student Satisfaction and Self-Confidence in Learning Self-Confidence in Learning

Jen-confidence in Learning				Section	Average	4.33	4.31	4.34
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree			
6. I am confident that I am mastering the content of the simulation activity that my instructors presented to me.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	sc
EM 0121	0	0	1	1	0	AVG	10	25
EM 0225	0	1	3	2	1		10	23
SC 0303	0	0	0	3	2		16	16
SC 0310	0	0	0	2	4			
	0	2	12	32	35	4.05	4.14	4.00
7. I am confident that this simulation covered critical content necessary for the mastery of medical surgical curriculum	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	sc
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0	AVG	10	30
EM 0225	0	0	1	5	1		10	30
SC 0303	0	0	0	3	2		20	24
SC 0310	0	0	0	1	5			
	0	0	3	44	40	4.35	4.29	4.38
8. I am confident that I am developing the skills and obtaining the required knowledge from this simulation to perform								
necessary tasks in a clinical setting.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	1	1	0		10	30
EM 0225	0	0	2	4	1		10	20
SC 0303 SC 0310	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 1	2 5		16	20
36 0310	0	0	9	36	40	4.25	4.14	4.31
	1	2	2	4	5	AVG	EM	
My instructors used helpful resources to teach simulation. EM 0121	0	0	3	1	0	AVG	15	SC 25
EM 0225	0	0	2	4	1		13	23
SC 0303	0	0	0	2	3		12	24
SC 0310	0	0	0	2	4			
	0	0	9	36	40	4.25	4.29	4.23
10. It is my responsibility as the student to learn what I need to								
know from this simulation activity.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	1	1	0		85	90
EM 0225	0	0	0	3	4			
SC 0303	0	0	0	2	3		24	40
SC 0310	0	0	6	2 64	4 175	4.62	4.60	4.64
11. I know how to get help when I do not understand the								
concepts covered in simulation.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	sc
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0		15	40
EM 0225	0	0	0	3	4			
SC 0303	0	0	0	2	3		16	20
SC 0310	0	0	0	2 36	4 55	4.55	4.43	4.62
	U	O	U	30	33	4.55	4.43	4.02
12. I know how to use simulation activities to learn critical								
aspects of theses skills.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	sc
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0	AVO	10	35
EM 0225	0	0	1	3	3		10	33
SC 0303	0	0	0	3	2		20	20
SC 0310	0	0	0	2	4			
	0	0	3	40	45	4.40	4.29	4.46
13. It is the instructor's responsibilty to tell me what i need to								
learn of the simulation activity content during class time.	1	2	3	4	5	AVG	EM	SC
EM 0121	0	0	0	2	0		10	25
EM 0225	0	0	2	3	2		20	30
SC 0303 SC 0310	0 0	0 1	0 0	3 2	2		20	20
30 0310	0	2	6	40	35	4.15	4.29	4.08
	-	-	-				0	

CCEI Assessmen	t FON (CCEI 1 PE)		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310		
Section Average	91%		EM	EM	sc	sc	Total	AVG
		1 Answer						
	Obtains Pertinent Data	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	3	10	91%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Performs Follow-Up Assessments as	2 Answer					Total	AVG
	Needed	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	3	10	91%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		3 Answer					Total	AVG
	Assess the Environment in and Orderly	•						
	Manner	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	3	10	91%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
CCEI Communica	ation FON (CCEI 2 Comm)		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310		
Section Average	96%		EM	EM	sc	sc	Total	AVG
_		4 Answer						
	Communicates Effectively with							
	Intra/Interprofessional Team	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	2	3	11	100%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		5 Answer					Total	AVG
	Communicates Effectively with Patien		_	•		2		000/
	and Significant Other	Demonstrates Competency	5 0	0 1	1 1	3 0	9 2	82%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency N/A	0	0	0	0	0	18% 0%
		N/A	U	U	U	U	U	076
		6 Answer					Total	AVG
	Documents Clearly, Concisely &	Demonstrates Competency	5	0	0	0	5	100%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		N/A	0	1	2	3	6	55%
		7 Answer					Total	AVG
	Responds to Abnormal Findings							
	Appropriately	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	2	3	11	100%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		8 Answer					Total	AVG
	Promotes Professionalism	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	2	3	11	100%
		Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
		N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%

CCEI Clinical Judgement FON (CCEI 3 Clin)		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310		
Section Average 92%		EM	EM	SC	SC	Total	AVG
•	9 Answer						
Interprets Vital Signs	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	2	9	90%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	10%
	N/A	0	0	0	1	1	9%
	10 Answer		_		_	Total	AVG
Interprets Lab Results	Demonstrates Competency	3	0	1	3	7	88%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1 0	0	1 3	13%
	N/A	2	1	U	Ü	3	27%
	11 Answer					Total	AVG
Interprets Subjective/Objective Data	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	2	3	11	100%
c. p. ets oud,ettire, ou,ettire zutu	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	•						
	12 Answer					Total	AVG
Prioritizes Appropriately	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	3	10	91%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	42 .						
	13 Answer	-			2	Total	AVG
Performs Evidence Based Interventions	·	5	1	1	3	10	91%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	14 Answer					Total	AVG
Provides Evidence Based Rationale for	- · Allswei					Total	AVO
Interventions	Demonstrates Competency	5	1	1	3	10	91%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	9%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	·						
	15 Answer					Total	AVG
Evaluates Evidence Based Intervention	is .			0			
and Outcomes	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	U	3	7	88%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	13%
	N/A	0	0	1	0	1	11%
	16.						
	16 Answer	2	•		2	Total	AVG
Reflects on Clinical Experience	Demonstrates Competency	3	0	0	3	6	100%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0 2	0	0	0%
	N/A	0	1	2	0	3	33%
	17 Answer					Total	AVG
Delegates Appropriately	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	1	3	8	89%
Seice area which interia	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	11%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	.,,,	U	0	.	0	0	070

CCEI Patient Safety FON (CCEI 4 PT Safety)		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0303	N4665 0310		
Section Average 94%		EM	EM	sc	SC	Total	AVG
	18 Answer						
Uses Patient Identifiers	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	2	3	9	100%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	10%
	19					Total	AVG
Utilizes Standardized Practices and				1			
Precautions including Hand Washing	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	_	3	8	89%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	11%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	20 Answer						****
Administration Bandingstone Cofele		2	4	4	2	Total	AVG
Administers Medications Safely	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	1	3	8	89%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	1	0	1	11%
	N/A	1	0	0	0	1	10%
	21 Answer					Total	AVG
Manages Technology and Equipment		3	0	2	1	6	100%
Manages reciniology and Equipment	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	N/A	0	1	0	2	3	33%
	N/A	U	-	O .	2	3	3370
	22 Answer					Total	AVG
Performs Procedures Correctly	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	2	2	8	89%
•	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	1	1	11%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	,						
	23 Answer					Total	AVG
Reflects on Potential Hazards	Demonstrates Competency	3	1	2	3	9	100%
	Does not Demonstrate Competency	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0%

PEER EVALUATION

PLLK EVALUATION		NASCE 04.24	N466E 022E	N. 4555 0		NACCE 0240			
		N4665 0121	N4665 0225	N4665 0		N4665 0310			
	L Answer	EM	EM		SC	SC	AVG	EM	SC
Nursing Process: Identify the									
problem (perform assessment)	1 = Poor 1		0			0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2		0			0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		1			3	12	3	9
	4 = Very Good <i>4</i> 5 = Excellent <i>5</i>		6 2			3 0	44	32 20	12 0
					,		20		
	N/A	0	0			0	4.00	4.23	3.50
	2 Answer						AVG	EM	SC.
	Allswei						AVG	EIVI	SC
Nursing Process: Diagnose	1 = Poor <i>1</i>	0	0			0	0	0	0
(Interpret data)	2 = Fair 2		0			0 1	2	0	2
	3 = Good 3		4			1	27	24	3
	4 = Very Good 4		4			4	32	16	16
	5 = Excellent 5		1			0	5	5	0
	N/A	0	0		,	0	3.47	3.46	3.50
	N/A	U	U			U	3.47	3.40	3.30
3	3 Answer						AVG	EM	SC
Nursing Process: Create a plan of									
care	1 = Poor 1	0	0			0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2	0	0			0	0	0	0
	3 = Good <i>3</i>	2	2		N	4	24	12	12
	4 = Very Good 4	0	6		0	0	24	24	0
	5 = Excellent 5	2	1			2	25	15	10
	N/A	0	0		d	0	3.84	3.92	3.67
							-		
4	1 Answer				a		AVG	EM	SC
Nursing Process:					t				
Implement/Intervene	1 = Poor 1	0	0		a	0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2	0	0			1	2	0	2
	3 = Good 3	0	2		Α	3	15	6	9
	4 = Very Good 4		7			1	36	32	4
	5 = Excellent 5	3	1		V	1	25	20	5
	N/A	0	0		a	0	3.90	4.14	3.33
					i				
	5 Answer				1		AVG	EM	SC
Nursing Process: Evaluate and					a				
Reassess the Patient	1 = Poor 1		0		b	0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2		0			0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		3		ı	3	21	12	9
	4 = Very Good 4		7		е	1	40	36	4
	5 = Excellent 5		0		,	2	15	5	10
	N/A	0	0			0	3.80	3.79	3.83
f	5 Answer						AVG	EM	SC
Collaborated and worked as a team									
(communication, etc.)	1 = Poor 1	0	0			0	0	0	0
, ,	2 = Fair 2		0			0	0	0	0
	3 = Good <i>3</i>		1			2	9	3	6
	4 = Very Good 4		6			2	32	24	8
	5 = Excellent 5	4	3			2	45	35	10
	N/A	0	0			0	4.30	4.43	4.00
							-		
	7 Answer						AVG	EM	SC
Provided leadership (delegated									
appropriately, alert other students									
of abnormal findings, etc.)	1 = Poor 1		0			0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2		0			0	0	0	0
	3 = Good <i>3</i>		3			3	21	12	9
	4 = Very Good 4		5			1	32	28	4
	5 = Excellent 5		2			2	25	15	10
	N/A	0	0			0	3.90	3.93	3.83

PEER EVALUATION

PLEN EVALUATION	8 Answer	N4665 0121 EM	N4665 0225 EM	N4665 0303 sc	N4665 0310 sc	AVG	EM	SC
Therapeutically communicated	0 7 til 5 w C i		2.141	30	50			
with patient	1 = Poor 1	0	0		0	0	0	0
with patient	2 = Fair 2		0		1	2	0	2
	3 = Good 3		3		1	15	12	3
	4 = Very Good 4		4		3	28	16	12
	5 = Excellent 5		3		1	35	30	5
	N/A	0	0		0	4.00	4.14	3.67
	N/A	O	U		O	4.00	4.14	3.07
	9 Answer					AVG	EM	SC
Demonstrated professionalism	1 = Poor <i>1</i>	0	0		0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2		0		0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		0		0	0	0	0
	4 = Very Good 4		5		2	32	24	8
	5 = Excellent 5	3	5		4	60	40	20
	N/A	0	0		0	4.60	4.57	4.67
1	.0 Answer					AVG	EM	SC
Advocated for patient	1 = Poor 1	0	0		0	0	0	0
•	2 = Fair 2		0	N	0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		2		4	21	9	12
	4 = Very Good 4	. 0	5	0	2	28	20	8
	5 = Excellent 5	3	3		0	30	30	0
	N/A	0	0	d	0	3.95	4.21	3.33
1	.1 Answer			a		AVG	ENA	
		0	0	t	0		EM	SC
Utilize available resources	1 = Poor 1 2 = Fair 2		0 0	a	0 0	0 0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		3		4	21	9	0 12
	4 = Very Good 4		5 6	Α	1	36	32	4
	5 = Excellent 5		1		1	20	15	5
	N/A	0	0	v	0	3.85	4.00	3.50
	NA	U	o .	a	0	3.03	4.00	3.30
1	.2 Answer			i		AVG	EM	SC
Safely administered medications	1 = Poor 1	0	0	I	1	1	0	1
	2 = Fair 2	0	2	a	0	4	4	0
	3 = Good 3	2	4	b	3	27	18	9
	4 = Very Good 4		4	1	0	20	20	0
	5 = Excellent 5		0		2	15	5	10
	N/A	0	0	е	1	3.35	3.36	3.33
1	.3 Answer					AVG	EM	SC
Demonstrated competency of skills		0	0		0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2		0		0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3		3		3	21	12	9
	4 = Very Good 4		5		2	36	28	8
	5 = Excellent 5	1	2		1	20	15	5
	N/A	0	0		0	3.85	3.93	3.67
	.,,							
14 Answer						AVG	EM	SC
How would you rate the student?	Sc 1 = Poor 1	0	0		0	0	0	0
	2 = Fair 2	0	0		0	0	0	0
	3 = Good 3	0	1		3	12	3	9
	4 = Very Good 4		5		2	36	28	8
	5 = Excellent 5	2	4		1	35	30	5
						4.15	4.36	3.67

Peer Evaluation Comments

- 1. List one area that went extremely well for the student and why?
- 2. List one area that needs the most improvement for the student and why?
- 3. Simulation Peer Global Assessment Score (SIM Peer GAS)

Activity: N4665 S20 0121 - Case: N4665 Mendoza

Learner: Holland Jones

- 1. Holland was very composed the entire time. She maintained a professional demeanor the entire time, and communicated well with the patient's mother. I really liked how she called the oxygen "magic air," I thought that was very clever.
- 2. I think the only area that needed improvement was acting quickly when the patient needed to be coded. However, I do not blame her for her reaction and I would have probably done the same thing especially since we do not have much experience with coding patients.

Learner: Rebekah Lewis

- 1. Good taking charge and figuring out what medications they need to do and doing CPR. She did a good job at getting all the materials in a timely manner.
- 2. Communication with the mom and the patient Provide more education to the family about the medications.

Learner: Meredith Miller

- 1. They did a great job communicating with the patient. She talked to the patient and the mother with appropriate dialogue
- 2. They did a good job of communicating with the patient however they asked the child frequently for permission to do certain tasks. It would be better to give the patient an option but still not just ask for permission.
- 3. They communicated very effectively with the parent and provided teaching about the monitor and what the vitals meant. They also quickly recognized the patient was unresponsive and called the physician immediately. They provided a light and good environment for the child while also being professional and efficient. They performed seizure protocol well and quickly removed the blanket to aid the fever.

Learner: Marilee Massey

- 1. They did a great job communicating with the patient. She talked to the patient and the mother with appropriate dialogue
- 2. They did a good job of communicating with the patient however they asked the child frequently for permission to do certain tasks. It would be better to give the patient an option but still not just ask for permission.
- 3. They communicated very effectively with the parent and provided teaching about the monitor and what the vitals meant. They also quickly recognized the patient was unresponsive and called the physician immediately. They provided a light and good environment for the child while also being professional and efficient. They performed seizure protocol well and quickly removed the blanket to aid the fever.

Page 1 of 6 27

Peer Evaluation Comments

Activity: N4665 S20 0225 - Case: N4665 Mendoza

Learner: Rachel Nix

- 1. She acted quickly and explained all actions to the child and the mother
- n/a

Learner: Bailey Palmer

- 1. great with communication and assessment
- 2. know that breathing treatments cause tachycardia

Learner: Alexis Pierce

- 1. She did really well with comforting and distracting the patient and the family. She also did well with explaining medications and side effects to the mother and patient.
- 2. Communication with the parent could have been done more and earlier in the simulation
- 3. Alexis and Natalie both were really good with communication with the mother and the child. As far as knowing what to do medically, i think that more experience would increase confidence on what to do.

Learner: Lyndsey Parker

- Intervening with O2 supplies evaluating vital signs and making critical decisions for patient care explaining VS to mom
- 2. communication of important patient info such as allergies

Learner: Allison Simmons

- 1. good assessment and timing of reassessment.
- 2. Hesitant at times, be confident in abilities
- 3. Student nurse did great job assessing and reassessing the patient. Focused well. As a primary nurse, provide more leadership.

Learner: Lillie Streets

- 1. Lillie did a great job documenting the problems with the patient and intervening/reassessing when needed.
- 2. N/A

Learner: Delacy Styles

- 1. Delacy did a very good job of being prompt with gettings medications and telling the patient and family medications were being given. She also did a great job exploring the family background and offering to find the help for the mother
- 2. talk more directly to the patient and ask the patient more questions to see how she is feeling

Page 2 of 6 28

Peer Evaluation Comments

Learner: Jacqualynn Tatz

- 1. Jackie did well with communicating which meds she was giving.
- 2. Jackie should be assertive and asking about medication allergies.

Learner: Janah Tanner

- 1. Utilized other resources when bed wasn't working; checked vitals, removed blanket, administered oxygen, cold cloth, communication w/ parent
- 2. n/a

Learner: Natalie Price

1. Alexis and Natalie both were really good with communication with the mother and the child. As far as knowing what to do medically, i think that more experience would increase confidence on what to do.

Activity: N4665 S20 0310 - Case: N4665 Stephen Chung

Learner: Madison Downey

- 1. Madison did really well talking to the patient and taking charge as the primary nurse. She assessed really well and educated the parent and patient well.
- 2. Madison needs to assess the medications before going to retrieve more medications. For example, the Dextrose was already hanging when she sent Madison to get more.
 - 1. Great job!

Learner: Ansleigh Crouch

- 1. Giving the child a distraction using a toy and talking to him about what he likes to do
- 2. Medication checks
- 3. I think that Ansleigh did her job as the medication nurse but could have taken the role of calling the doctor and asking the patient his name and DOB.

Learner: Holly Doss

- 1. I believe that she did a very good job educating the patient about diabetes and assessing his signs and symptoms.
- 2. I think that the patient could work on safely identifying the patient because she did not orient her patient when she went into the room.
- 3. I thought that overall this simulation went very well.

Learner: Elena Taylor

- 1. I think patient safety was a high priority for you and you performed that well by checking the armband and confirming that the medication was being administered as ordered.
- 2. I think the only area that I could see that could use improvement would be about finding the D5 a little quicker/knowing where to find it. This, of course, will come with practice.

NRSG 4665 Spring 2020

Elsa Mendoza & Stephen Chung Peer Evaluation Comments

3. I thought Elena did a very good job in this simulation. It was hard to evaluate her as she was the medication nurse so she was gone for some of it, but she did well!

Learner: Ashley Veilleux

- 1. Ashley did really well at educating the mom and providing comfort to the kid.
- 2. She could have gotten the doctor to explain diabetes.
 - 1. Great job!

Learner: Katherine Waller

- 1. She did an amazing job at evaluating the external environment and finding candy in the patient's bed. She also did a great job interpreting vital signs and acting appropriately.
- 2. There was nothing I noticed that she did not do effectively. Giving the patient more education is always a great idea.
- 3. Katie did an amazing job! She acted as if it was a real life situation and acted accordingly,

Page 4 of 6 30

CCEI Comments S20

Activity: N4665 S20 0121 - Case: N4665 Mendoza

1. Great job with the communication! Great age appropriate questions for the child and wonderful communication with the mother.

Most interventions were performed with minimal prompting.

Make sure you check id for medication administration and that you obtain all vital signs first. They give a great overview of patient status.

2. Great job with the communication! Great age appropriate questions for the child and wonderful communication with the mother.

Most interventions were performed with minimal prompting.

Make sure you check id for medication administration and that you obtain all vital signs first. They give a great overview of patient status.

3. Great job with the communication! Great age appropriate questions for the child and wonderful communication with the mother.

Most interventions were performed with minimal prompting.

Make sure you check id for medication administration and that you obtain all vital signs first. They give a great overview of patient status.

Activity: N4665 S20 0303 - Case: N4665 Stephen Chung

- 1. no additional comments
- 2. Introduced themselves to the parent

asked name and DOB

checked blood sugar quickly

be sure to wear gloves for any event that includes blood and body fluids

firm with the child but not mean

hung insulin quickly

careful with communication - "we care about the patient safety." She heard "WE care about the patient safety." Pay attention to tone and what you are saying.

reacted appropriately when he started vomiting.

GLOVES

did not identify abnormal vital signs without prompting

Did not review lab results from this AM without prompting

prioritized needs appropriately - stabilized patient before education!

everyone participated in the care

asked about allergies and checked arm band before Zofran administration. Asked name and DOB - noticed differences in DOB but gave med anyway.

double checked glucose again after meds and vomiting

make sure you explain procedures before you do them

its all about moderation....he can still have chicken nuggets periodically.

3. offered choices between heart and lung assessment

introduced self to patient and mother

took vital signs

Page 5 of 6 31

CCEI Comments S20

4. Did a complete assessment

checked blood sugar early explained blood sugar check before doing it

5. asked about nausea

recognized high blood sugar levels
provided a diagnosis
explained types of diabetes - and the need to get glucose down.
excellent communication skills
took a while to get the medication....
recognized that a second person had to check the insulin!

Activity: N4665 S20 0310 - Case: N4665 Stephen Chung

1. good communication

stopped insulin
recognized confusion
called provider
sent med nurse for medication
good assessment
used identifiers for medication administration
make sure you use non-medical terms.

2. introduced selves to patient

good delegation of skills, someone asking questions, someone assessing, someone doing vitals.

great history questions

Great communication with mom

Good communication with child

good catch with the candy and great explanation

let him play with the stethoscope before using it

Good answers to the mom's question about IV fluid

explained things to patient what they were doing

recognized a low blood sugar

very slow to respond to low blood sugar

good CPR

Good clinical decision making.

group 1 forgot the side rail down.

Page 6 of 6 32

Krystal Canady Spring 2020 NRSG 4665 Faculty Evaluation Comments

No Data Available

Page 1 of 1 33

Josie Doss Spring 2020 NRSG 4665 Faculty Evaluation Comments

No Data Available

Page 1 of 1 34