Georgia College School of Nursing Non-Tenure Track Faculty Evaluation Checklist - LECTURER

Directions: This checklist is designed to assist in preparation of your self-evaluation narrative for the annual performance evaluation. Please review this list carefully prior to writing your narrative to ensure that you include all important facts. This list is based on the College of Health Sciences *Philosophy, Policy & Procedures for Non-Tenure Track Faculty Performance Appraisal and Promotion* document. You do *not* need to include evidence regarding the minimal "fully acceptable" expectations for faculty, such as creation of syllabus, preparing lessons, or developing exam items. Evidence for meeting the criterion for "commendable" and "excellent" must be provided. The evaluation period that should be addressed for this evaluation is based on the previous calendar year (spring, summer, and fall semesters) only.

Note: It is expected that all faculty, regardless of rank, will perform the minimum teaching, scholarship, and service activities as listed below to earn a "Fully Acceptable" rating on the annual performance evaluation. Missing activities listed as minimum requirements will earn a rating of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement". Activities completed beyond these requirements as listed below are to be used in your self-evaluation to earn a rating of "Commendable" or "Excellent".

Category: Minimum Teaching, Scholarship, and Service Activities					
Teaching	Prepare for classes				
6	Attend classes				
All are required	Grade student assignments				
-	Complete course report using template				
	Self-reflection and course changes are documented based				
	on student evaluations				
Scholarship	Incorporate evidence-based teaching methods into classes				
	Minimum of one Scholarship of Teaching and Learning				
All are required	activity per year				
	Terminal Degree earned if applicable				
	All current information is entered into Digital Measures				
	Curriculum Vitae is printed from Digital Measures and				
<u> </u>	attached to evaluation				
Service	Attend NFO Meetings				
	Attend NFO Sub-Committee Meetings				
All are required	Attend COHS Meetings				
	 Attend at least one graduation ceremony per year Attend School of Nursing Celebration Ceremony 				
Category: Teaching (See Benchmarks for Commendable or Excellent)					
1.1 Demonstrates developing professionalism	Private communication (emails, letters, cards from peers,				
and collegiality through private and public	colleagues, students)				
communications from a variety of stakeholders.	Public communication and recognition, such as news				
	article or informal presentation				
Commendable (1)	Formal mentorship and orientation of new faculty				
Excellent (>1)	Serves as an advisor and/or mentor to nursing students				
1.2 Demonstrates development of course	Active participation in major course revision or new				
materials and pre-course planning documents	course planning (beyond routine planning)				
that reflect effective planning and assessment of	Active participation in planning, implementing and				
instructional design and implementation.	evaluating learning that ties didactic course with clinical				
	experiences				
	Development of new contracts or community clinical				

Commendable (1)	partnerships			
Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	Proposal development for a new course			
	Utilization of formal peer evaluation to improve course(s)			
	Award for teaching excellence received*			
1.3 Demonstrates innovation in instructional	Uses reflection from evaluation findings to implement and/or			
design and delivery that results in improved	revise high impact educational practices and/or creative			
learning.	teaching strategies such as:			
C C	High Impact			
Commendable (2)	Service Learning (registered with the University)			
Excellent (>2)	Study Abroad			
	Student-faculty research			
	Collaborative assignments and projects			
	Diversity/global learning			
	Simulation development utilizing NLN Standards with			
	student evaluation of the simulation experience			
	Creative Teaching Strategies			
	Writing across the curriculum			
	Speaking to Learn			
	Reader's Theater			
	Flipped Classroom			
	Problem-based learning (new problem development)			
	Case study development			
	Uses best practices in designing course within LMS			
	Course is Quality Matter Certified			
	Learning management system reflects best practices			
	(peer review required)			
	Other – you will need to define and evaluate			
1.4 Demonstrates engagement in curriculum or	Curriculum content mapping to outcomes and professional			
program planning design, revision or evaluation	standards			
that reflects current trends in evidence-based	Active participation in curriculum, evaluation, and			
educational practice or accreditation	assessment committee			
requirements.	Documented course revision based on student feedback			
Commondoble (2)	and outcomes			
Commendable (2) Excellent (>2)	Participation in elements of program evaluation or self-			
Excellent (>2)	study such as writing a self-study, progress and planning reports, etc.			
	Participation in multi-course teams to improve curriculum			
	Other– you will need to define and evaluate			
Catagony, Sahalanghin and Professional Days	lanmant (Sas Danahmanka fan Commandahla an Evallant)			
Category: Scholarship and Frotessional Deve	lopment (See Benchmarks for Commendable or Excellent)			
2.1. Acquisition of professional eradentials or	Professional certification earned*			
2.1 Acquisition of professional credentials or	Professional certification maintained			
training and/or recognition at the state or regional level.	Maintains clinical competency in area of clinical expertise			
	Attendance at conference/training or completion of online			
Commendable (1)	training to expand clinical expertise			
Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	Attendance at conference/training or completion of online			
Excelent (>1) of any started them	training to expand teaching expertise			
2.2 Review or editing of scholarly work through	Evidence of editing or review of books, creative activities,			
the submission of reviews of other work,	professional journal, conference presentations			
informal mentorship of student research, and	Mentorship of undergraduate student research			
professional consulting on a state and regional	Mentorship of graduate student research			
level.	Summary or communication documenting consultation			
Commendable (1)	contribution			
Excellent (>1)				
(/	1			

	·				
2.3 Development and dissemination of	Peer reviewed or edited book, book chapter, journal article				
knowledge through the submission of peer-	or monograph published or accepted for publication				
reviewed scholarly efforts, presentation at state	Grant award for research				
and regional level conferences, and/or	Reviewed or invited presentation at professional				
submission of internal or external funding of	conference or public lecture on scholarly topic				
research initiatives.	Award for scholarship excellence received*				
Category: Service (See Benchmarks for Commendable or Excellent)					
3.1. Service to the Institution or University	Chair, Secretary or special assignment in School of				
System of Georgia	Nursing committee				
	Chair, Secretary or special assignment in College of				
Demonstrates effective management and/or	Health Sciences committee				
leadership in labs, clinics, programs,	Active participation in University-Wide committee such				
departments or events as pertinent to role	as Senate, Faculty Recognition, etc.				
responsibilities.	Active participation in University Senate sub-committee				
responsionnes.					
	Active participation in campus programs of short duration,				
Commendable (1)	such as circle leader, research conference, training, others				
Excellent (>1) or any starred* item	Active participation in councils or task forces				
	Major coordinator role (no course reduction or extra				
	compensation) such as School of Nursing Accreditation,				
	COHS International Coordinator, GCANS.				
	Award for service excellence received*				
3.2 Service to the Profession	Involvement ¹ in professional organizations such as:				
	Committee membership				
Demonstrates involvement in committees, task	Leadership role				
forces, or initiatives at the department, college	Board of Directors				
or institution level; effective academic advising;	Task force				
volunteering with special campus events;	Conference planning				
mentoring student organizations or students;	Accreditation site-visitor				
and/or submission of internal or external	Other				
funding of non-research initiatives (i.e.,					
academic programming).					
Commendable (1)					
Excellent (>1)					
3.3 Service to the community as a professional	Participation in a community non-profit organization or				
or a citizen ²	governmental agency in a capacity that requires				
	professional nursing expertise. Participation may include				
Demonstrates commitment to their profession	committee membership, leadership role, member of Board				
through active participation in organization	of Directors, or task force member				
activities and initiatives at the community, state	Leadership in a professional organization performing a				
or regional level.	service to the community				
Commendable (1)	Delivery of direct nursing care or educational services				
Excellent (>1)	requiring nursing expertise to a community organization				
3.4 Demonstrates ability to provide service to	Involvement in any community service as a citizen				
the community, district, or state.	(something that does not require nursing expertise)				
Commendable (1)					
Excellent (>1)					
Lacenent (* 1)	1				

 ¹ Involvement should be documented as activities beyond maintaining membership
 ² Citizen service is not considered as important as service as a professional

Georgia College School of Nursing Faculty Evaluation Reflection

1. What do you consider to be your major work accomplishments since your last review?

2. Specify areas where you think you have exceeded job requirements

3. How might you improve on your current teaching practices?

4. What skills or new knowledge would you like to develop to improve your performance?

5. List two professional goals for next year and identify measurements that you would like to use to determine if you have met these goals.

6. Student Ratings of Instruction (SRIS)

Semester/Course	SRIS Excellent Teacher Rating Raw Score	SRIS Excellent Teacher Rating Adjusted Score	SRIS Excellent Course Rating Raw Score	SRIS Excellent Course Rating Adjusted Score